

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE 02/06/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Sudhir Agrawal	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  HYZ-030CPCN3 (47508.518)	CONFIRMATION NO. 8659
23483	7590	10/08/2002		EXAM	INER

HALE AND DORR, LLP

60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109

GIBBS, TERRA C PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
1	09/777,526		AGRAWAL ET AL	
			Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		1635	
	Terra C. Gibbs	sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the co.s.			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXP	PIRE <u>3</u> MONTH	(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE Of  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the period for reply six specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statused and the period for reply will, by statused and the period for reply will.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply within the statutory min	nimum of thirty (30) do	mys will be considered time the mailing date of this	ely. communication
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
1) Responsive to communication ( )  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-	final.	as to	the merits is
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for f ler Ex parte Quayle	formal matters, <sub>9,</sub> 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
lateration of Claims				
1 anding in the applicat	llon. drawn from conside	eration.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ulawii iioiii oo			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or election requ	irement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to:  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	Ma/or election is a			
Application Papers	minor			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ob	jected to by the	Examiner.	<b>5</b> (2)
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.8	5(a).
Laboration filed OII			approved by the Ex	(attilite).
11) The proposed drawing corrected makings are required	in reply to this Offic	e action.		
If approved, corrected drawings and 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the second seco	he Examiner.			
12) The oath of declaration to object the country to object the co				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the second	foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (t).	
* o\				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been	received.		
Certified copies of the priority doc     Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been	n received in Ar	oplication No	Ctogo
			received in this N	ational Stage
application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certification	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a pro	visional application).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the	JOHNESHIC PROTESTS	iition has h	een received.	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority u	inder 35 U.S.C	§§ 120 and/or 12	21.
Attachment(s)			O	Paper No(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	O-948)	4)	Informal Patent Appli	cation (PTO-152)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (1997) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	DEI INU(3)			Part of Paper No. 12

Application/Control Number: 09/777,526

Art Unit: 1635

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 and 12-14 were originally filed with this application. Applicant has renumbered Claims 12-14 as claims 9-11. The dependency of renumbered claim 9 has been amended. Claims 1-11 are pending in the instant application.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 05/21/01, in Paper No. 6, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Applicant is asked to submit a legible copy of each patent or publication contained within the Information Disclosure Statement.

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/777,526

Art Unit: 1635

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,591,721. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: The method for introducing an intact oligonucleotide into a mammal, the method comprising the step of orally administering a chimeric oligonucleotide, the oligonucleotide comprising about 6 to 50 nucleotides linked via at least one phosphorothioate internucleotide linkage and at least one internucleotide linkage, whereby the oligonucleotide is present in intact form in plasma at least six hours following oral administration, of claims 1-11 of the instant invention, embrace the embodiments of claim 1 of '721, a method for introducing an intact oligonucleotide into a mammal, the method comprising the step of orally administering an oligonucleotide of about 15 to 25 nucleotides linked via phosphorothioate internucleoside linkages between every nucleoside, and further comprising at least two 2'-O-methyl-ribonucleotides at each end, whereby the oligonucleotide is present in intact form in the systemic plasma at least six hours following oral administration (see U.S. Patent No. 5,591,721, claim 1; column 3, lines 29-67; and column 4, lines 1-39); specific embodiments of claims 1-11 of the instant invention overlap with the embodiments of claim 1 of '721 (see U.S. Patent No. 5,591,721, claim 1; column 3, lines 29-67; and column 4, lines 1-39). Furthermore, oligonucleotides of claims 1-11 of the instant invention encompass the oligonucleotides of claim 1 of '721 (see U.S. Patent No. 5,591,721, column 4, lines 40-63). For example, a chimeric oligonucleotide of claims 1-11 of the instant invention, comprising about 6 to 50 nucleotides linked via at least one phosphorothioate internucleotide linkage and at least one internucleotide linkage; whereby the oligonucleotide is present in intact form in plasma at least six hours following oral

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/777,526

Art Unit: 1635

administration, clearly embrace an oligonucleotide of about 15 to 25 nucleotides linked via phosphorothioate internucleoside linkages between every nucleoside, and further comprising at least two 2'-O-methyl-ribonucleotides at each end, whereby the oligonucleotide is present in intact form in the systemic plasma at least six hours following oral administration, of claim 1 of '721 as evidence by the citations above, for example.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because the specification, while being enabling for an all-phosphorothioate oligonucleotide having at least 2'-O-methyl ribonucleotides at the 3' and 5' end, does not provide enablement for an oligonucleotide comprising non-phosphodiester internucleotide linkages, or for an oligonucleotide having fewer than two 2'-O-methyl ribonucleotides at each end. The specification as filed does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 1-7 are drawn a method for introducing an intact oligonucleotide into a mammal, the method comprising the oral administration of a chimeric oligonucleotide, the oligonucleotide comprising about 6 to 50 nucleotides linked via at least one phosphorothioate internucleotide linkage and at least one internucleotide linkage; whereby the oligonucleotide is present in intact

Application/Control Number: 09/777,526

Art Unit: 1635

form in plasma at least six hours following oral administration. Claims 8-11 are drawn to a method for introducing an intact oligonucleotide into a mammal, the method comprising the oral administration of a chimeric oligonucleotide, the oligonucleotide comprising about 6 to 50 nucleotides linked via at least one phosphorothioate internucleotide linkage and at least one internucleotide linkage; whereby the oligonucleotide is present in intact form in plasma at least six hours following oral administration; wherein the oligonucleotide is complementary to a gene of a virus, pathogenic organism, or a cellular gene.

The instant invention specification states that successful operation of the claimed invention was obtained with an all-phosphorothioate oligonucleotide having multiple 2'-O-methyl-ribonucleotides at each end (see page 39, lines 21-31, Example 1). Two published scientific articles co-authored by the Applicants, Agrawal et al. (Biochemical Pharmacology, 1995 Vol. 50:571-576) and Zhang et al. (Biochemical Pharmacology, 1995 Vol. 50:545-556) teach that successful operation of the claimed invention was obtained with an all-phosphorothioate oligonucleotide with four 2'-O-methyl-ribonucleotides at each end. No guidance is provided in the specification or in the published literature regarding successful operation of the claimed invention using any type of oligonucleotide other than an all-phosphorothioate oligonucleotide having at least two 2'-O-methyl-ribonucleotides at each end.

Given the lack of guidance in the specification regarding successful operation with any type of oligonucleotide except an all phosphorothioate oligonucleotide having at least two 2'O-methyl ribonucleotides at each end, undue experimentation would have been required by one skilled in the art at the time the application was filed to practice the claimed invention using *any* 

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/777,526

Art Unit: 1635

type of oligonucleotide claimed, except for an all phosphorothioate oligonucleotide having at

least two 2'-O-methyl ribonucleotides at each end.

Additionally, claims 1-11 represent a broad scope because, given their broadest

interpretation, they read on treating any disease via oral administration of an all phosphorothioate

oligonucleotide having at least two 2'-O-methyl ribonucleotides at each end. Methods of

targeting oligonucleotides into a subject (whole organism) fall into the broad area known as gene

therapy methods. While delivery of nucleic acids in and of itself is not considered as therapy per

se, delivery shares many of the obstacles recognized for the actual therapy methods because

successful therapy methods are, for the most part, based on the ability to deliver exogenous

nucleic acids to cells or tissues of interest. Branch (TIBS Vol. 23, February 1998) teaches that

the in vivo (whole organism) application of nucleic acids is a highly unpredictable endeavor due

to target accessibility and delivery issues (see entire text).

It would appear that in view of the above, one of ordinary skill in the art would require

specific guidance on how to practice the current invention. The current specification does not

provide such guidance and one of ordinary skill in the art would be required to perform undue

trail and error experimentation to practice the current invention. The quantity of undue

experimentation would include overcoming the obstacle to routine antisense therapy as

exemplified in the reference discussed above.

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terra C. Gibbs whose telephone number is (703) 306-3221.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-8693.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

tcg October 1, 2002